

The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**

SUSAN SOTO PALMER, et. al.,

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

STEVEN HOBBS, et. al.,

*Defendants,*

and

JOSE TREVINO, ISMAEL CAMPOS, and  
ALEX YBARRA,

*Intervenor-Defendants.*

Case No.: 3:22-cv-05035-RSL

Judge: Robert S. Lasnik

**PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO  
INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION TO EXTEND TIME OF,  
AND ESTABLISH PROCEDURES  
FOR, REMEDIAL EVIDENCIARY  
HEARING**

Plaintiffs present this brief response to explain their opposition to Intervenor-Defendants' Motion to Extend Time of the Remedial Evidentiary Hearing and to present their full positions on the admissibility of Dr. Trende's supplemental expert report and the division of time between the parties.

*First*, Plaintiffs oppose Intervenor-Defendants' motion to extend the time of the evidentiary hearing. This Court made clear that the hearing would focus "in particular" on "Remedial Map 3A." Dkt. # 266 at 1. The factual disputes are thus limited, and any testimony should be similarly

1 limited. Moreover, the parties have had ample opportunities to brief their positions and present  
 2 evidence throughout the remedial process, including opportunities to present expert reports both  
 3 before and after the Court indicated its focus on Map 3A.

4 *Second*, Intervenor-Defendants failed to include Plaintiffs' full position regarding the  
 5 stipulated admission of the supplemental remedial expert report of Dr. Sean Trende, Dkt. # 273.  
 6 Plaintiffs note that, while they stipulate the admissibility of Dr. Trende's supplemental report, the  
 7 new map contained therein along with the related data and analysis are untimely and should be  
 8 excluded.  
 9

10 *Finally*, Plaintiffs note that Intervenor's motion assumes the hearing is currently set for  
 11 four hours, *see* Dkt. # 279 at 3, an assumption not shared by Plaintiffs. Intervenor also assume  
 12 that there will be an even share of time for each expert. *Id.* at 2 ("Assuming an equal division  
 13 between the experts, the current afternoon time allocation would allow for each expert to be on the  
 14 stand for a total of 80 minutes."). Plaintiffs reiterate their position that the time allocated for the  
 15 hearing be evenly divided between Intervenor and Plaintiffs (with the State's time subtracted from  
 16 Plaintiffs' per the Court's indication at the hearing), with each side to determine the manner in  
 17 which they want to spend their time.  
 18

19 Dated: March 4, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

20 By: /s/ Simone Leeper

21  
 22 Edwardo Morfin  
 23 WSBA No. 47831  
 24 MORFIN LAW FIRM, PLLC  
 25 2602 N. Proctor Street, Suite 205  
 26 Tacoma, WA 98407  
 Telephone: 509-380-9999

Chad W. Dunn\*  
 Sonni Waknin\*  
 UCLA VOTING RIGHTS PROJECT  
 3250 Public Affairs Building  
 Los Angeles, CA 90095  
 Telephone: 310-400-6019  
 Chad@uclavrp.org  
 Sonni@uclavrp.org

Mark P. Gaber\*

1 Simone Leeper\*  
Aseem Mulji\*  
2 Benjamin Phillips\*  
CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER  
3 1101 14th St. NW, Ste. 400  
Washington, DC 20005  
4 mgaber@campaignlegal.org  
5 sleeper@campaignlegal.org  
6 amulji@campaignlegal.org  
bphillips@campaignlegal.org

7 Annabelle E. Harless\*  
CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER  
8 55 W. Monroe St., Ste. 1925  
Chicago, IL 60603  
9 aharless@campaignlegal.org

10  
11 \*Admitted pro hac vice  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

Thomas A. Saenz\*  
Ernest Herrera\*  
Leticia M. Saucedo\*  
Erika Cervantes\*  
MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL  
DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND  
643 S. Spring St., 11th Fl.  
Los Angeles, CA 90014  
Telephone: (213) 629-2512  
tsaenz@maldef.org  
eherrera@maldef.org  
lsaucedo@maldef.org  
ecervantes@maldef.org

*Counsel for Plaintiffs*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that all counsel of record were served a copy of the foregoing this 4th day of March 2024, via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Simone Leeper  
Simone Leeper  
Counsel for Plaintiffs